



B/ZFW

Docket No.: GB919990081US1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of: John B. IBBOTSON, et al. Confirmation No: 8913

Serial No: 09/675,468

Group Art Unit: 2173

Filed: 9/28/2000

Examiner: Pillai, Namitha

For: METHOD AND TOOL FOR GRAPHICALLY DEFINING AN EXPRESSION

MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE
Commissioner For Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Applicants recognize that in accordance with M.P.E.P. § 1302.14, the Examiner's reasons for allowance need not set forth all of the details as to why the claims are allowed. In the above-referenced application, Applicants do not concede that the Examiner's stated reasons for allowance are the only reasons for which the claims are allowable. In particular, Applicants do not concede that the identified limitations with respect to independent claims 21, 32 and 38 are the only grounds for patentability of the allowed claims. Furthermore, the claims may be patentable for other reasons. In addition, Applicants note that the dependent claims may also be allowable on their own merits, and are allowable on the basis of a sub-combination of the recited features of the dependent claims and their respective base claims.

Respectfully submitted,

SAWYER LAW GROUP, P.C.

June 17, 2010
Date

/Joseph A. Sawyer, Jr./
Joseph A. Sawyer, Jr.
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 30,801
(650) 493-4540



Docket No.: GB919990081US1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of: John B. IBBOTSON, et al. Confirmation No: 8913

Serial No: 09/675,468

Group Art Unit: 2173

Filed: 9/28/2000

Examiner: Pillai, Namitha

For: METHOD AND TOOL FOR GRAPHICALLY DEFINING AN EXPRESSION

MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE
Commissioner For Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Applicants recognize that in accordance with M.P.E.P. § 1302.14, the Examiner's reasons for allowance need not set forth all of the details as to why the claims are allowed. In the above-referenced application, Applicants do not concede that the Examiner's stated reasons for allowance are the only reasons for which the claims are allowable. In particular, Applicants do not concede that the identified limitations with respect to independent claims 21, 32 and 38 are the only grounds for patentability of the allowed claims. Furthermore, the claims may be patentable for other reasons. In addition, Applicants note that the dependent claims may also be allowable on their own merits, and are allowable on the basis of a sub-combination of the recited features of the dependent claims and their respective base claims.

Respectfully submitted,

SAWYER LAW GROUP, P.C.

June 17, 2010
Date

/Joseph A. Sawyer, Jr./
Joseph A. Sawyer, Jr.
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 30,801
(650) 493-4540